(1) (3)

BEFORE: THE MADHYA PRADESH ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, JABALPUR.

(Original Application No. 2976/1995)

CWP(S) 1219/07

Shri Saurabh Sahu, aged 31 years, son of Shri K.R.Sahu, Daily Wages Graduate Engineer, in the office of the Executive Engineer, Durg Division No. 1, resident of Kirti Kunj, Kasardih, Durg. - Applicant.

Versus

The State of Madhya Pradesh.

Through : 1. The Secretary,
Government of Madhya Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
Bhopal.

2. The Chief Engineer,(East),
Madhya Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
Raipur.

3. The Superintending Engineer,
M.P.Public Works Department,
Durg Circle, Durg.

4. The Executive Engineer,
M.P.Public Works Department,
Durg Division No. 1, Durg. - Respondents.

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 19, ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS ACT, 1985.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P.S. No. 1219 OF 2005

Petitioner : Saurabh Sahu.

Versus

Respondents : State of Madhya Pradesh & Others

Shri H.B. Agrawal, Senior Counsel with Shri J.K. Gupta, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the State.

ORAL ORDER

(25th July, 2006)

1. The petitioner was appointed as Graduate Engineer on Daily Wages Basis on 1.5.1991. Subsequently, after one month's notice, services of the petitioner was discontinued by order dated 19.9.1995 (Annexure A-1).

2. The petitioner, being aggrieved filed an application before the Madhya Pradesh, Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur. While admitting the application, the Tribunal by order dated 11.10.1995 granted interim relief in favour of the petitioner to continue in service. The said order was confirmed thereafter.

3. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner has been continuing as Daily Wager, Graduate Engineer. Since then the petitioner is not claiming any relief of regularization in the present petition. Since the petitioner has been continuing as Daily Wager from

11.10.1995 till date, there is no point in interfering with the interim order granted in favour of the petitioner.

4. In view of the interim order, which has been confirmed later on, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Sanjay K. Agrawal
Judge